

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/536,621	05/26/2005	Masahiko Nakamori	UNIU40.005APC	9275	
20995 KNOBBE MA	7590 08/20/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET			MACARTHI	MACARTHUR, SYLVIA	
FOURTEENT IRVINE, CA 9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
111111111111111111111111111111111111111			1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/20/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/536,621	NAKAMORI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sylvia R. MacArthur	1792	

	Sylvia R. MacArthur	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(n).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		octor diamino.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7,8 and 10-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1 h - f		h a satural
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
August 12, 2008	/Sylvia R MacArthur/		

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Continuation of 3. NOTE: It is noted that claim 8 was inadvertantently omitted from the prior art of rejections (paragraphs 9 and 10) to Takahashi et al. (JP 11-07517) and Hasegawa Toru (JP 2002-324770) as the claim is interpreted as a matter on intended use as it depends upon the size of the material to be polished (substrate) Recall, that the inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impert patentability to the claims. See In re Young, 75 F. 29 866, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935). Furthermore, if applicant believes that that the novelty or non-obviousness of the present invention is do to the pad having a lesser diameter than the workpiece this is conventional and known as evidenced by Helley US 6,361.467 (wafer 10, pad 140) and Jones et al US 6,497,784 (pad 24, wafer 10 having layers 11 and 12). Applicant must provide a showing (not a mere statement) of criticality of the recited range of 1/4 to 1/2 as recited in claim 8.

Also the Terminal Disclaimer has been received by the Office on 8/1/2008, but has not been formally reviewed. This should be completed by the examiner's next communication with applicant.